	NUMERTAL PROTEC	TION	ic.
BI GHI	V	A rea	
FI	ORIDA	ar	1
			-

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
AIRS ID#: 0250504 DATE: <u>12/20/2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>09:45AM</u> DEPART: <u>11:30AM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: UNIVERSAL CONCRETE CORP.				
FACILITY LOCATION: 11790 NW SOUTH RIV	ER DR.			
MEDLEY 33166				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JUAI Email: CONTACT NAME: DAVID ALVAREZ Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/12/2008 / 5/11/2013 (effective date) (end date)	Mobile: PHONE: (305)512-3400 Mobile:			
Facility Section				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	eck 🗹 only one box)			
IN COMPLIANCE IMINOR Non-COMP	PLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
·				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Ricky Alvarez</u>	(check \square only one box for each question)			
Brief Notes:				

2.	Is the Authorized Representative still JUAN ALVAREZ?	Xes Yes	No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still DAVID ALVAREZ?	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No

Emissions Unit Section

an _

	<u>1–120 CY/HR CONCRETE BATCH PLANT W/BH ON BATCHER & BH ON SILO subject t</u>	o e / e o puen	2
PA	ART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	
	Date of last inspection: 02/11/2010		question
2.	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		— - •
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	
	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	🛛 Yes	∐ No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	□ ••	
	operation? N/A	Yes	∐ No
	d. Date of last VE test: $02/11/2010$		
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	\bigvee Yes	
	f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	🛛 Yes	∐ No
	g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>26.41</u> tons/hourh. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		
	n. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the sho dust contector, and the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? \square N/A	Yes	□ No
	i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?		
	j. What was the actual batching rate? <u>27.32</u> tons/hour		
	k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	Xes	🗌 No
	If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
PA	ART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
	enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	•
			question
1		V V	
1.	. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?		∐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	🗌 No
	b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	_	_
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes	☐ No
	If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
	d. During visible emissions tests of the sile dust collector exhaust points use the loading of the sile as	advatad at a s	ata.
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No 🗌 N/A – silo not load		
	e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		No
	f. What was the silo loading rate? <u>26.41</u> tons/hour		
	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?		
		Yes	\bowtie No
		\square Yes h .	🛛 No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to	h	
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	h. Yes	
	 If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	<i>h</i> . Yes te and Yes	
	 If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate 	<i>h</i> . Yes te and Yes	D No
	 If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	h. Yes te and - Yes ttes n is separate	D No
	 If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration? What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minu If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll 	h. Yes te and Yes tes h is separate lector	□ No □ No
	 If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration? What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minu If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 	h. Yes te and Yes tes h is separate lector Yes	D No
	 If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration? What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute and duration? 	h. Yes te and Yes tes h is separate lector Yes es.	 No No No
2.	 If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rat duration? What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minut If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the normal batching rate and duration? What was the batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? 	h. Yes te and Yes tes h is separate lector Yes es. Yes	 □ No □ No □ No □ No
2.	 If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rat duration? What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the normal batching rate and duration? What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? Mat was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration?	h. Yes te and Yes tes h is separate lector Yes es. Yes	 No No No
2.	 If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rat duration? What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the normal batching rate and duration? What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute May the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. 	h. Yes te and Yes tes h is separate lector Yes Yes Yes Yes	 □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
2.	 If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rat duration? What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the normal batching rate and duration? What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? Mat was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration?	h. Yes te and Yes tes h is separate lector Yes Yes Yes Yes	 □ No □ No □ No □ No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	•
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	- 🛛 Yes - 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? 		🛛 No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		🗌 No
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 		□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yr+MM gal prop275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propa		0?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consume for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🗌 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control	V Var	
devices?	🛛 Yes	∐ No
a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	_	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the follows)	(check ☑ box for each ing question 2.	question)
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? - 	0(6)]	□ No
 c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation" 	(6)]	No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose? 		🗌 No
 b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? 		D No
CHANGES	(check ☑ box for each	•

Ac	Iministrative Changes:		140501011)
1.	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa	tive not	
	associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un	its or	
	operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	- 🗌 Yes	🖂 No
2.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
Ne	ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
	a. Installation of any new process equipment?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	- 🗌 Yes	🖂 No
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	- 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
	d. A change in ownership?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee sub	mitted	
	30 days prior to the change?	- 🗌 Yes	No No

MARUFUL MALIK

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

12/20/2011

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

12/20/2010

COMMENTS: On December 20, 2010 I visited this facility to conduct the compliance inspection and to observe the visible emissions tests.Ms.Jody Beck , the Project Manager, South Florida Environmental Services conducted visible emissions tests on the cement and flyash silos and the loadout dust collector. The tests were conducted while the silos were loaded at 10 PSI on the line and 12 PSI on the tank. The first VE test on the south silo (Cement) started at 10:14 A.M. The VE test on the north silo (Flyash) started at 11:03 A.M. and the dust collector started at 11:22 A.M. I did not observe any visible emissions during the VE tests. The facility produces 400 yards of cement per month. Also, I did not observe any fugitive particulates around the facility.